

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ROANOKE DIVISION**

FEB 25 2014

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: *D. Dudley*
DEPUTY CLERK

This case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) to the Honorable Pamela M. Sargent, United States Magistrate Judge. The Magistrate Judge has filed a report recommending that the court deny plaintiff Chris Carty's motion for preliminary injunctive relief (Docket No. 15). The parties have not filed objections to the report and recommendation,* and the court, upon review of pertinent portions of the record, is of the opinion that the report should be adopted. It is, accordingly, **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that the Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 46) is **ADOPTED** and Carty's motion (Docket No. 15) is **DENIED**.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to the plaintiff and any unrepresented parties.

ENTER: February 25, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

* Carty has frequently filed materials in this case, some of which reference non-existent documents. (See Docket No. 48 (undated filing from Carty received by the court on December 23, 2013, labeled as a sworn affidavit, and objecting to a non-existent December 13, 2013 report by the Magistrate Judge) None of those materials, however, followed the issuance of and objected to the Magistrate Judge's December 16, 2013 report and, therefore, cannot be construed as timely objections to it. To the extent Carty's filings have raised additional issues, the Magistrate Judge and the court have addressed those filings as appropriate, for instance, by ordering the defendants to respond (Docket No. 49), issuing an additional Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 62), and ruling thereon (Docket No. 64).